WO

DATE: <u>2/19/09</u>

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

		KIOT OF THE COUNT
LIN	NITED STATES OF AMERICA	
O.	v.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
Alejandro Marchan-Millan		Case Number: 09-0093M
represented b	by counsel. I conclude by a preponderance ant pending trial in this case.	142(f), a detention hearing has been held. Defendant was present and was of the evidence the defendant is a serious flight risk and order the detention
I find by a pre	ponderance of the evidence that:	FINDINGS OF FACT
\boxtimes	•	nited States or lawfully admitted for permanent residence.
\boxtimes		ged offense, was in the United States illegally.
×	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.	
	The defendant has no significant conta	acts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.	
\boxtimes	The defendant has a prior criminal his	tory.
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.	
	The defendant is an amnesty applica substantial family ties to Mexico.	nt but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has
	There is a record of prior failure to app	ear in court as ordered.
	The defendant attempted to evade law	enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.
	The defendant is facing a maximum of	f years imprisonment.
\boxtimes	The defendant submitted the issue of	detention.
The Cat the time of	the hearing in this matter, except as note	al findings of the Pretrial Services Agency which were reviewed by the Court d in the record. NCLUSIONS OF LAW
1.	There is a serious risk that the defenda	
2.	No condition or combination of condition	ons will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required.
		NS REGARDING DETENTION
a corrections to appeal. The co of the United t	facility separate, to the extent practicable, t lefendant shall be afforded a reasonable o States or on request of an attorney for the	ne Attorney General or his/her designated representative for confinement in from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending apportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.
	APPEALS A	AND THIRD PARTY RELEASE
IT IS deliver a copy Court.	ORDERED that should an appeal of this or of the motion for review/reconsideration to	detention order be filed with the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to pretrial Services at least one day prior to the hearing set before the District
IT IS I Services suffi	FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a ciently in advance of the hearing before	a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and

Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview and investigate the potential third party custodian.

Michelle H. Burns United States Magistrate Judge